जोड़ता है, क्या इसका पटटा विलेख तथा क्रमिन्यास योजना (ले-आउट प्लान) अनुमोदित है, आदि ।

इन सोसाइटियों के नाम तथा पते और गत तीन वर्षों के दौरान प्रत्येक सोसाइटी को वर्षवार मंजूर की गई लेवी सीमेंट की मात्रा संलग्न विवरण में दी गई । (देखिये परिशिष्ट [CXLV, अनुबंध सं० 73]

(घ) जी नहीं ।

(ङ) और (च) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

Differences over Minimum Regional Wages

1775. SHRI SHARAD YADAV:
SHRI RAM NARESH KUSHAWAHA:

Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that State Govenments have serious difference of opinion in the fixation of minimum regional wages and that some State Governments have even decline to implement the idea; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof stating the seps taken by Government to evolve a consensus on the issue7

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER). (a) and (b) The draf guidelines on Reg'onal Minimum Wages were circulated to the State Governmnts and in the light of comments received, the matter was placed before the Labour Ministers' Conferen-e he!d on 20th May. *iQ&T.* In the light of the conclusions of the conference, the guidelines were finalised and forwarded to all the State Governments]Union Territory Administrations on 24th July, 1987. The question of regional minimum wages has been discussed in the Conferences of the Labour Ministers' of different region Rniit^pTi. Eastern Northern and Western regions held during October and December, 1987. In the Southern Labour Ministers Conference held on the 9th October,, 1987, it was concluded that a Committee co-prising Labour Secretaries of the State in the region and an officer of the Ministry of Labour should go into th question in respect of 4 employments i.e. Handloom Cashew, Beedi and Manufacture of tiles. While the Conference of the Northern and Western regions did not identify any employment for which regional minimum wages can be fixed, Conference of the Eastern Region held on 31st October 1987, felt that consultation a t the regional levei in respect of minimum wages should be held at frequent intervals.

Under utilization and diversion of drought relief

1776. SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news item which appeared in the Hindustan Timeg o* 11th February, 1988 under the caption 'States under utilised drought aid' to the effect that the funds provided for drought relief had been diverted to other schemes with-/ out the consent of the Central Government by the various States;

((b) if so, the number of such States identified so far; and

(c) what action Government havfc taken against those States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THF DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND COOPERATION IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI cHYAM LAL YADAV): (a) and (b) The news item which appeared in the HINDUSTAN TIMES o* 11th February 1988 is based on the draft sunnlementary report for the year 19B5-R6 of the Comptroller & Auditor Gene-Rl of India regarding Central assistance for relief of distress caused bv drought and floods relating to years 1983-84 to 1985-38. This report